Editorial

Andrew Speck

When, in summer 2001, War Resisters' International decided to highlight the situation in Israel and Palestine for this year's Prisoners for Peace, there was no 11 September, no "war on terrorism". There was "just" a completely stuck peace process, and increasing violence: from both the occupying Israeli forces, and in the Palestinian response to this occupation. And there was a slowly growing movement of conscientious objectors in Israel. More than enough reasons for a Prisoners for Peace focus.

All this became even more important after 11 September. As Andrew Rigby points out below, the Israeli-Palestinian conflict lies at the heart of the present "war on terrorism". It is because of this that the US administration made some minor attempts to "unstick" the peace process, in order to form a broad coalition for their war. And it is because of this that we never questioned our decision to join a system that does not value human rights and that continues to rape, control and occupy the Palestinian territories.

Dual realities

As I write my mind goes back to a Palestinian friend, a gentle family man. In 1991, at the time of the war against Iraq, he and his friends would go up on the roof of their house and cheer as the Scud missiles flew over from Iraq targeted on Tel Aviv. Why? Because now the Israelis would feel some of the pain and fear that was part and parcel of everyday life for Palestinians. When I last saw him two years ago he was worried because his teenage son, Saeb, had become involved with youth activities at the local mosque and he feared he might be recruited into one of the Islamic political movements opposed to the Oslo peace process.

One struggle

This is why we must herald the courage of young people like Yair Halper who dare to go against the mainstream, people who

continued on page 2
CO activist Sergei Sandler reports on the rising tide of objection to military service in Israel during the second intifada.

Delivering the message, loud and clear

Sergei Sandler

Thirty-two people is a small number. A demonstration with thirty-two participants would hardly be worthy of the word. But since October 2000, thirty-two people were imprisoned or otherwise penalised in Israel for refusing to perform military duty on conscientious and political grounds.

Thirty-two may not be such a small figure after all. It is even rather large if we compare it to the figure for the preceding year – only three. It is also not that small because it actually represents a greater number. It is estimated that several hundred people were interviewed this year by the two committees set up to examine cases of conscientious objection (CO).

Under Israeli law CO status exists for women only. Men are practically always rejected by their committee - which is made up exclusively of military personnel, and so must will reach prison in the end.

Druse objectors

Yesh-Gvul, an organisation supporting selective political objectors, reports that it has received some 250 calls from objectors, most are reserve soldiers specifically refusing to be sent to the Palestinian Occupied Territories on political grounds.

Most of these objectors do not end up in prison, because at some stage the army decides to station them within Israel’s recognised borders. However, some have still been penalised, including six junior officers.

The Druse Initiative Committee, promoting objection to military service in the Druse religious community, estimates that only 50% of all Druse men end up serving in the military. Many members of the Druse religious community, who are drafted by the Israeli army, refuse to serve in an army fighting against their own, Palestinian, people.

Druse objectors are required by the military authorities to spend exceptionally long periods of time behind bars before they are discharged from the army. One Druse activist lately remarked that his own village, with a few thousands residents, has already accumulated some 500 years in military prison.

A growing movement

The growth in the number of declared objectors marks the arrival of a new generation of Israeli citizens, more independent in their thought. 62 of these young people, aged 15-18, signed a letter to Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon stating their intention to refuse to participate in the oppression of the Palestinian people.

“We protest before you, against the aggressive and racist policy pursued by the Israeli government and its army, and inform you that we do not intend to take part in the execution of this policy”, they wrote. The letter was published on 2 September and has received widespread public attention. More youths have since added their signatures.

Finally, according to data obtained by the feminist antimilitarist movement New Profile, only 44% of Israeli youths complete their mandatory three years of military conscription. Service in the reserves, still legally mandatory for all men in Israel, is now only performed by a small minority.

Declared objection is merely the tip of the iceberg. Of course, not everyone who abstains from military service does so on conscientious grounds, or shares the moral and political positions presented by declared conscientious objectors. Still, it appears most Israelis, even some of those who voice support for the gravest war crimes, fail, in the end to support these crimes with their actions. In abstaining, they are voting with their feet and their sheer numbers make theirs a significant role.

Visible resistance

As for the declared conscientious objects – in terms of numbers they may be a marginal group in Israeli society, but they lead the way for many others. Every act of conscientious objection is a living and publicly visible antithesis to what would be consensus surrounding the army as an institution and to the criminal policies implemented by the Israeli army in Palestine.

Every person who refuses to serve in the army, by his or her very refusal to automatically back the decisions of the generals in the army and in government, joins the political struggle against militarism in Israeli society.

Moreover, the message delivered by the act of conscientious objection reaches beyond the limits of Israel, and first and foremost to Palestinian society. Conscientious objectors, especially those imprisoned for their beliefs, serve as a living and well-noticed proof to our allies in the Palestinian society that there still are people in Israel with whom they can cooperate towards reaching a common moral and political vision.

Thirty-two conscientious objectors were tried, almost all imprisoned, since the intifada began. In fact, this is not such a small number after all.

Sergei Sandler works with New Profile

New Profile

PO Box 48005, Tel Aviv, 61480 Israel (email ghiller@haogen.org.il; http://www.newprofile.org).

Andrew Rigby is the Director of the Centre for the Study of Forgiveness and Reconciliation at Coventry University, Britain.
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by their prophetic actions point to an alternative future in the Middle East - one based on the acknowledgement of equal human rights for all in the region. Their struggle is, in a very real sense, our struggle. Because peace in the Middle East is a prerequisite for peace throughout the world.

If the wound that is Palestine is not healed it will fester and poison the lives of us all sooner or later. (As Michael Ignatieff recently remarked, “To ask what victory in the war against terror means is to ask what peace between Palestinians and Israelis requires.”

Frank Cervenka (enn8), no title

http://www.newprofile.org)
Prisoners for Peace Honour Roll 2001

How the list works

- First are prisoners’ names (in bold), followed by their sentence (in brackets, where known), then their place of imprisonment (in bold), and finally, the reason for their detention.
- Information about countries where prisoners have had their sentences suspended, or where sentences have been served or completed during the year are in italics.

Armenia

On 12 September 2001, Gevork Palyan, a Jehovah’s Witness, was sentenced to one year imprisonment for refusing military service on religious grounds. Although Armenia announced an amnesty in summer 2001, which freed many Jehovah’s Witnesses imprisoned for conscientious objection, new sentences occurred since. On 12 September, 13 Jehovah’s Witnesses were still imprisoned or in penal colonies due to their refusal to perform military service: Khachatur Zakaryan, Amayak Karapetyan, Arman Atanyan, Vahan Mkroyan, Armen Yeghiazaryan, Vladimir Kiryuan, Vladimir Osipyan, Edgar Bagdasaryan, Aram Shahverdyan, Vachagan Hovhannisyan, Karen Vardanyan, Samvel Vardanyan and Karapet Harutyunyan. Four more awaited trial and a further five were living at home on condition that they report regularly to local police.

Finland

In Finland there still exists a very extensive conscription system (over 90% of male citizens will be called up to military service) and the number of total objectors has been rising in recent years. In 1999 totally 56 total objectors announced their refusal, which is the highest number since Jehovah’s Witnesses were exempted from conscription (in 1987). The number will probably be even higher this year. This growth is partly explained by the aggravation of problems in the civilian service system (the service period of conscripts was shortened in 1998, but civilian service period has remained unchanged) but not entirely: the “unconditional” total objection, which protests against the conscription system as such, seems to be growing noticeably too. On 1 October 2001 there were 22 imprisoned total objectors in Finland.

The following ten total objectors, who will be imprisoned on 1 December, have permitted us to distributing their prison address:
- Sadri Çetinkaya (15/10/01–01/05/02)
- Jarkko Mauno (13/08/01–28/02/02)

Helsinki, 36, 01531 VANTA

Mikko Korhonen (16/07/01–31/01/02)
Uudenmaan lääinnväkila/ avovankilaosasto, PL 20, 05401 JOKELA

Juha Mikkola (01/09/01–20/01/02)
Satakunnan vankila, Huittisten osasto, Toivarinte 581, 32700 HUITTINEN

ilkka Tillanen (23/07/01–16/01/02)
Laure Pynnönen (14/08/01–01/03/02)
Naarajärven vankila, PL 1, 76851 NAARAJÄRVI

Aleks Sutinen (01/06/01–17/12/01)
Kuopion vankila, PL 7, 70101 KUOPIO

Ari Saastamoinen (01/08/01–30/01/02)
Juuan avovankilaosasto, PL 26, 83901 JUUKA

Pyry Nurmi (17/10/01–04/05/02)
Suomenlinnan työsiirtola, Suomenlinna C 86, 00190 HELSINKI

Sami Heikkinen (20/08/01–09/03/02)
Naarajärven vankila, PL 1, 76851 NAARAJÄRVI

Germany

Malik Sharif (03/11/01–08/12/01)
z.Zt. Arrest, Freiherr-von-Fritsch-Kaserne, 25524 Breitenburg
Total objector, serving his second arrest term. Likely to be re-arrested after his release.

In 2001 more and more reservists and conscripts refused to serve in the occupied territories, and some principled COs refused to serve at all – all together 32 since October 2000 (see article). They mostly receive sentences of 21 or 28 days, and receive a new call-up after their release. Check the WRI website (http://wri-irg.org) for updates.

Leonid Kressner, Military ID 7156547
Military Prison No. 6, Military postal number 03734, IDF
Arrested on 15 October, and imprisoned since, awaiting trial. He is likely to be in prison on 1 December 2001

Yair Halper, Military ID 723405
(17/10/01–09/12/01)
Military Prison No. 6, Military postal number 03734, IDF
Refuses to enlist in the army.

Ro’i Wolman (05/11/01–02/12/01)
Military Prison No. 6, Military postal number 03734, IDF
Refuses to serve in the Occupied Territories.

Eran Razgour, Military ID 7118061
(28/10/01–04/12/01)
Military Prison No. 4, Military postal number 02507, IDF
Conscientious objector, refuses enlistment. Likely to be re-imprisoned after release.

Korea, Republic of

Mordechai Vanunu
Ashkelon Prison, Ashkelon, Israel (18 years from 30/09/86)
Nuclear whistleblower convicted of espionage and treason – kidnapped on 30 September 1986 in Italy

Puerto Rico

There have been over one thousand people arrested for participating in civil disobedience actions at the US military base on Vieques, or in support of Vieques, most of them got a short prison sentence or were fined, only very few remain in prison now, although this number might go up because of a new round of civil disobedience actions. Check the WRI website for updates.

Dámaso Serrano #21626-069
(14/08/01–13/12/01)
MDC Guaynabo, Apartado 2146, San Juan, PR 00922-2146
Civil disobedience action at the US military base Vieques.

State of Spain

Although conscription is not enforced any longer, some insumisos are still in prison.

Alberto Estefania Hurtado (2 years 4 months, out 04/03/03)
Javier Rodríguez Hidalgo (2 years 4 months, out 20/02/04)
Óscar Cervera Garcia (2 years 4 months, out 03/07/03)
Prisión Militar de Alcalá de Henares, 28870-Alcalá de Henares (Madrid)
José Ignacio Royo Prieto (2 years 4 months, out 04/03/03)
Prisión Provincial de Bilbao, Lehendakari Agirre, 92, 48870-Basauri (Bizkaia)
Imprisoned for nonviolent direct action (breaking into a military installation)

United States of America

■ Philip Berrigan #14850-056
   (02/02/01–01/02/02)
■ Susan Crane #87783-011
   (02/01–01/02/02)
■ FCI Dublin, 5701 8th Street, Dublin, CA 94568
   Prince of Peace Plowshares direct disarmament action on 14 February 1997, imprisoned on 02/02/01 for probation violation due to subsequent Plowshares vs. Depleted Uranium action.
■ Rev. Stephen Kelly S.J. #292-140
   (19/12/99–18/03/02)
■ Roxbury Correctional Institution, 18701 Roxbury Rd., Hagerstown, MD 21746
   “Plowshares vs. Depleted Uranium” direct disarmament of A-10 anti-tank warplanes on 19 December 1999
■ David Corcoren #90282-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Oxford, PO Box 1085, Oxford, WI 53952
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ John Alfred Hunt, Jr. #90277-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ FCI Beckley, PO Box 350, Beaver, WV 25813
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Steve Jacobs #88110-020
   (17/07/01–17/07/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Leavenworth, P.O. Box 1000, Leavenworth, KS 66048
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Richard John Kinane #90279-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ FCI Englewood Camp, 9595 W. Quincy Avenue, Littleton, CO 80123
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Mary Lou Benson #90281-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Rachel Louise Hayward #90286-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Gwen Henessey #90288-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Rebecca Kanner #90278-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Elizabeth Anne McKenzie #90291-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Miriam Spencer #90294-020
   (10/08/01–10/02/02)
■ Mary Alice Vaughan #90296-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Pekin, PO Box 6000, Pekin, IL 61555
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Dorothee M. Hennesssey #90287-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ c/o 3390 Windsor St., Dubuque, IA 52001
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Lois Putzier #90292-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Phoenix, 37930 N. 45th Ave, Phoenix, AZ 85086
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ John Ewers Unit B-2, #90284-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ William Houston, Jr. #Unit B-2, #90289-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Ashland, PO Box 6000, Ashland, KY 41105-6000
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Claire Marie Hanraham #90285-020
   (17/07/01–17/01/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Alderson, Box A, Alderson, WV 23910
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Eric Robison #90293-020
   (30/07/01–30/01/02)
■ Federal Prison Camp Sheridan, PO Box 6000, Sheridan, OR 97378
   Civil disobedience action at the School of the Americas in November 2000
■ Scott Galindez #87333-012
   (29/10/01–28/01/02)
■ Metropolitan Detention Center, POB 1500, Los Angeles, CA 90053
   Trespass by backcountry occupation of Vandenberg AFB to protest Star Wars missile tests, May 2001
■ Scott Kenji Warren #A0101453
   (20/07/01–20/01/02)
   “Throwing an object at a building” by marking the Pentagon with blood, April 2001
■ Alberto de Jesus MCC 150 Park Row, New York, NY 10007
   Sentenced to one year on 21 June 2001 for placing a Vieques and Puerto Rican flag and a “No more bombing” sign on the crown of the Empire State Building in New York. At the time of that action and arrest, he was on probation for earlier civil disobedience actions in Vieques.

Action

■ On 1 December, put aside at least one hour and write at least four cards to prisoners;
■ Get your peace group or class or place of worship to organise a card-writing session;
■ Set up a stall in your town centre, perform a bit of street theatre, or do whatever else it takes to attract attention and interest.

Sending cards and letters

■ Always send your card in an envelope;
■ Include a return name and address on the envelope;
■ Be chatty and creative: send photos from your life, drawings;
■ Tell prisoners what you are doing to stop war and war preparations;
■ Don’t write anything that might get the prisoner into trouble;
■ Think about the sort of thing you’d like to receive if you were in prison;
■ Don’t begin, “You are so brave, I could never do what you have done”; Don’t expect the prisoner to reply;
■ Remember—next year it could be you...

Support our future work

For 45 years, War Resisters’ International has publicised the names and stories of prisoners of conscience. Help them keep up the tradition:
■ Send in a special PfP donation to WRI to help fund next year’s research.
■ Give a Peace News subscription to a prisoner on our list (or provide us with the name and address of someone not on our list).

Send contributions to: War Resisters’ International, 5 Caledonian Road, London N1 9DX, Britain (tel +44 20 7278 4040; fax 7278 0444; email office@wri-irg.org).
Your outreach to prisoners does make a difference. Show your solidarity!
Online version
The Intifada: From violence to more violence

Marwan Darweish

On 28 September 2001 the Palestinians commemorated the first anniversary of the second Intifada with more people killed and injured adding to the already hundreds of deaths and the thousands injured during this year.

The characteristic of this Intifada in contrast with previous Palestinian confrontations with the Israeli occupation is the extraordinarily high number of civilian casualties within both the Palestinian and Israeli societies. This was due to an excessive use of violence during the first year of this Intifada.

It has been argued that the use of arms by Palestinians and the use of live ammunition by the Israeli forces causing many deaths and injuries has terrified many people deterring them from joining popular protest.

Militarisation by the PNA

After the first four months the uprising took a turn away from popular grassroots protest to a sporadic armed struggle and later to low level guerrilla tactics including suicide bombing.

It must be clearly acknowledged that there is no symmetry between the occupier and the occupied; the relationship is one of that between oppressor and victim. The violence of the powerful Israeli occupation army using live ammunition, tanks and helicopter gunship and finally F-16 Fighter Jets, demonstrates who is the military power.

The militarisation of the Intifada by Palestinians has clearly been ineffective in fighting Israel, a strategic mistake miscalculation and distinctly counterproductive given the military might of the Israeli retaliatory measures.

The militarisation of the uprising provided the Palestinian National Authority (PNA) security forces with the opportunity to prove their significance, particularly as they had not been paid for few months due to a lack of funds. In January 2001, for the first time, the PNA security forces executed two Palestinians for their collaboration with the Israeli intelligence service. This again serves to highlight their crucial role in maintaining order.

The empowerment of the security forces in Palestinian society over civil and political movements has given them the upper hand, and a seemingly free hand to abuse their power. This has undermined the democratic process by excluding many sectors within Palestinian society from actively participating in the uprising.

This exclusion of civil society organisations has impacted on the participation of women and secular organisations and has shifted power in favour of religious groups. In fact, the PNA has been putting enormous pressure on NGOs and tightening its control through the introduction of laws and regulations.

Since the Oslo agreement in 1993 political parties have been sidelined, leaving the PNA to make decisions on all aspects of Palestinian life.

Nonviolence and empowerment

The use of armed confrontations with the Israeli army represents a failure to learn from the past, most recently the 1987 Intifada. Nonviolent action during the first Intifada stripped Israel of its military power over the Palestinian civilians and caused serious damage to the image of Israel internationally.

The grassroots participation and the formation of popular committees was a source of empowerment for the whole population. The mutual support system established in local neighborhoods to face the hardship of the closure imposed by the army proved invaluable and inspirational in the security and confidence they provided for people living the intifada day to day.

In contrast the corruption within the PNA ranks and the public mistrust towards the Palestinian leadership has created an atmosphere of apathy and feelings of hopelessness. The PNA has failed to convince the public of their transparency or accountability. However, Israeli has collaborated with this trend and a close eye needs to be kept on the dubious economic cooperation between the PNA officials and former Israeli military personalities.

Internationals working in Palestine are some of the few to call for nonviolent action against the Israeli occupation. Over the past year they have arranged some very successful, if small scale, actions. And in many situations Palestinians and Israelis from the Peace Camp organised sit-ins and other protest activities. My own experience of these actions has been that they are very powerful and render the army completely powerless. Their attempts to use sound bombs, tear gas and physical violence against protesters were chaotic and pathetic.

My observation is that there is a great potential for the use of nonviolence training and the development of strategies for nonviolent actions.

Since 11 September

The reaction of the PNA to the events of 11 September has been to distance itself from the attack and to publicly condemn it. However, in the Palestinian streets, like in many other Muslim countries, anti American feelings are being expressed and a few demonstrators in the Gaza strip have been killed by the Palestinian security forces.

More voices in the world have called for recognition of the Palestinian grievances and their right for self-determination and the establishment of Palestinian state. But, as after the Gulf War, the Palestinians and other Arab countries are suspicious of US motives – and exactly what their support will mean for the future of Palestine – until they see the US actually take some action against Israel.

There is no doubt that there is no military solution to Palestinian-Israeli conflict and that the only way forward is through negotiations. However, this dialogue must recognise the Palestinians need for freedom and justice and the Israelis need to live in security.

Marwan Darweish has been working in peace education and inter-community dialogue for a number of years.
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Bart Horeman

For me as a WRI person, living in the Netherlands has two privileges. One is that I can take a bike and cycle to WRI's birthplace. The other is that I have easy access to WRI's heritage, stored at the International Institute for Social History (IISH) in Amsterdam.

But it was not before our chair Joanne Sheehan planned a visit to the IISH and asked me to come along, that I became aware of these advantages. Going through some of WRI's archives, one realises that we already have a long history. I had a look at the Prisoners for Peace files, and was impressed.

December 1st, 1956, was the first time WRI 'celebrated' Prisoners for Peace Day. This was done by publishing a Prisoners for Peace Honour Roll and calling on all members of WRI sections to send postcards and letters to the prisoners. In fact, this basic idea has remained the same over the years. The only difference with todays' list is that at that time, the list consisted of conscientious objectors to military service who were imprisoned and those who were performing substitute service. During the years, the list has been altered several times. Nowadays it includes anyone who is imprisoned for non-violent actions against war and war preparation.

The fact that the Honour Roll started in 1956 does not mean WRI did nothing for imprisoned peace activists before that date. I found Prisoners for Peace lists of imprisoned COs and COs detained in work camps produced by WRI in the files from 1926 onwards. Only some years' lists were missing, in particular those around the World War II period (1940-1946). From 1947 there has been a list every year.

But it wasn't until 1956 that the 1 December was designated as Prisoners for Peace Day.

How successful it was - and still is - may be concluded from the fact that in 1958 two imprisoned received more than one thousand greetings. I could not find similar recordings, but I estimate that Osman Murat Ulke must have broken this record, when he was imprisoned on 1 December 1997 and 1998. Still, WRI's outreach at that time wasn't small.

In 1961 for the first time the PFP Honour Roll was printed. More interesting is that in 1963 for the first time 6 COs from Yugoslavia were on the list. They were serving prison sentences ranging from 6 to 9 years. Probably this was the first listing of prisoners outside the northern hemisphere, but there were more to come. One year later, in 1964, we see the listing of the first Prisoners for Peace from a third world country: Seven imprisoned persons from Pakistan, serving sentences up to 14 years' imprisonment.

In the most dreadful years of the Cold War, we encounter the first Prisoners for Peace from East-Germany, Algeria, Greece, Spain, Czechoslovakia and the Soviet Union. In 1983 for the first time there is a special focus on imprisoned COs from Hungary and the PFP list is accompanied by a special Campaign Pack.

Nevertheless, the PFP list has been mainly filled with western activists and COs from Eastern Europe. Prisoners from third world countries remained scarce on the list. In 1971 there were again Prisoners for Peace from Pakistan, together with one from Mozambique and - believe it or not - one from South Vietnam! In 1973 an Israeli showed up and in 1977 someone from Rhodesia.

We also see an interesting development in the way COs are considered. By 1967 the list is split up into imprisoned COs and COs in work camps performing substitute service. By then, apparently some people no longer considered the substitute service as a punishment. At least they felt a need for distinction between the two. It is not clear to me in which year it was decided to skip COs performing substitute service from the list.

A debate about the continuation of the Prisoners for Peace Honour Roll takes place in 1974. Although the list is seriously at stake, its production is continued and has remained to be so until today.

Bart Horeman is one of the authors of "Refusing to bear arms. A world survey of conscription and conscientious objection to military service", published by WRI in 1998, and WRI's Treasurer

Members of the Catholic Worker Organisation and of War Resisters' League picket the White House in Washington in support of French COs, 1 December 1956
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